

## Hector Plan Revision Meeting Notes 03-03-04: Draft Alternatives

Three Rough Draft Alternative maps were presented at the March public meeting, including the current plan alternative. Comments below are for each alternative.

### **Current Plan**

Revise plan somewhat- do not use current plan

Be careful about adding motorized vehicles, keep to outskirts where possible for all plans

No drilling in all the plans

Have as many multi-use trails as possible in all plans

Don't let any trails currently open to snowmobiles be taken away in all of the plans

Make all new trails multi-use in all plans

More FS staff time should be spent on the Forest, and less on planning for all plans

Campers should be aware they have use of Smith Park for swimming and entertainment

Need a special trail or an area for children that has an introduction and education about the Forest

Need access to tourist attractions in the Forest with information provided

Forest Service staff and activities should be within the heart of the Forest-not at Caywood Point or

414

Multi-use trails don't work

### **Alternative 1**

What kind of timber management might be allowed in future old forest

Snowmobiles are not compatible with cross country ski trails, especially in future old forest

Snowmobile season is short and they have historically been on the Interloken Trail which bisects future old forest

Need more multi-use trails throughout: horses, snowmobiles, hikers can go anywhere

Avoid fragmenting the future old forest

Can you anticipate wood markets and plan for that

Minimize motorized recreation everywhere-don't allow any in future old forest

No oil and gas drilling in the Forest

Establish small experimental and educational reforestation area (example, American chestnut)

Invasive, exotic plant species should be able to be controlled in the future old forest

All Forest Service buildings (interpretive, educational, administrative) should be on Forest-in the heart of the Forest

Existing multi-use trails should remain multi-use, including in future old forest

This alternative has too much future old forest-out of proportion

Make future old forest more contiguous-include trades, example: snap open areas, grasslands, shrubs

Prefer alternative 1 over alternative 2

Have less pasture

### **Alternative 2**

Caywood Point-would hate to see a road or developed recreation

non-motorized recreation is important

No motorized trails in future old forest

Snowmobiles and cross country skiing conflict with each other

More multi-use trails

Want snowmobiles to be continued in ROF

Caywood Point-should consider economics of counties

No drilling

Drilling is ok

Oak management is ok in small cuts

Have isolated areas of forests by keeping the existing grasslands-tradeoff areas to create larger future old forest in south end and more grassland in north

Don't allow motorized use in future old forest

Minimize motorized use-none in future old forest or in outskirts

Don't take away any existing multi-use trails

Remove grassland for grazing from west of Reynoldsville (along Interloken and Burnt Hill Trails)-put to forest for grassland for wildlife