

List of Tables

Table Number		Page
Chapter 1 – Purpose and Need		
1-1	Management Area Changes in Alternative E between Draft and Final EIS (total acres of National Forest System land within Management Area)	1-30
Chapter 2 - Alternatives		
2-1	Distribution of Management Areas in Alternative A	2-13
2-2	Distribution of Management Areas in Alternative B	2-16
2-3	Distribution of Management Areas in Alternative C	2-18
2-4	Distribution of Management Areas in Alternative D	2-21
2-5	Distribution of Management Areas in Modified Alternative E	2-24
2-6	Distribution of Management Areas in Alternative F	2-27
2-7	Distribution of Management Areas in Alternative G	2-30
2-8	Summary of Annual Estimated Outcomes and Activities by Alternative for the Chippewa National Forest	2-31
2-9	Summary of Annual Estimated Outcomes and Activities by Alternative for the Superior National Forest	2-31
2-10	Comparison of Effects and Outcomes - Chippewa NF	2-32
2-11	Comparison of Effects and Outcomes - Superior NF	-32
Chapter 3 – Affected Environment and Environmental Consequences		
3.2 - Forest Vegetation		
FAC-1	Existing Upland Forest Conditions on the Chippewa National Forest Compared to those on All Lands in the Northern Drift and Lake Plains to RNV	3.2-4
FAC-2	Existing Upland Forest Conditions on the Superior National Forest Compared to those on All Lands in the Uplands and Lake Plains to RNV	3.2-8
FAC-3	Existing Upland Forest Conditions on the Dry Pine LE on the Chippewa National Forest Compared to those for LE on all Lands in Minnesota Drift and Lake Plains and to RNV	3.2-8
FAC-4	Upland Forest Conditions on the <i>Dry-Mesic Pine LE</i> on the Chippewa National Forest (CNF) Compared to those for this LE on All Lands in the Minnesota Drift and Lake Plains Section and to the Range of Natural Variability Values (RNV).	3.2-9

Table Number		Page
FAC-5	Existing Upland Forest Conditions on the <i>Dry-Mesic Pine/Oak LE</i> on the Chippewa National Forest (CNF) Compared to those for this LE on All Lands in the Minnesota Drift and Lake Plains Section and to the Range of Natural Variability Values (RNV)	3.2-9
FAC-6	Existing Upland Forest Conditions on the <i>Mesic Northern Hardwoods LE</i> on the Chippewa National Forest (CNF) Compared to those for this LE on All Lands in the Minnesota Drift and Lake Plains Section and to the Range of Natural Variability Values (RNV).	3.2-10
FAC-7	Existing Upland Forest Conditions on the <i>Boreal Hardwood/Conifer LE</i> on the Chippewa National Forest (CNF) Compared to those for this LE on All Lands in the Minnesota Drift and Lake Plains Section and to the Range of Natural Variability Values (RNV)	3.2-10
FAC-8	Existing Upland Forest Conditions on the <i>Jack Pine-Black Spruce LE</i> on the Superior National Forest (SNF) Compared to those for this LE on All Lands in the Northern Superior Uplands Section and to the Range of Natural Variability Values (RNV)	3.2-11
FAC-9	Existing Upland Forest Conditions on the <i>Dry-Mesic Red and White Pine LE</i> on the Superior National Forest (SNF) Compared to those for this LE on All Lands in the Northern Superior Uplands Section and to the Range of Natural Variability Values (RNV)	3.2-11
FAC-10	Existing Upland Forest Conditions on the <i>Mesic Red and White Pine LE</i> on the Superior National Forest (SNF) Compared to those for this LE on All Lands in the Northern Superior Uplands Section and to the Range of Natural Variability Values (RNV)	3.2-12
FAC-11	Existing Upland Forest Conditions on the <i>Mesic Birch, Aspen, Spruce-Fir LE</i> on the Superior National Forest (SNF) Compared to those for this LE on All Lands in the Northern Superior Uplands Section and to the Range of Natural Variability Values (RNV).	3.2-12
FAC-12	Existing Upland Forest Conditions on the <i>Sugar Maple LE</i> on the Superior National Forest (SNF) Compared to those for this LE on All Lands in the Northern Superior Uplands Section and to the Range of Natural Variability Values (RNV)	3.2-13
FAC-13	Minimum Stand Ages for Potential Old-growth Forest Conditions to Exist	3.2-15
FAC-14	Existing Amounts of Forests in Old-Growth/Multi-aged (OG/MA) Condition in Upland Landscape Ecosystems (LE)	3.2-16
FAC-16	Trends in Upland Forest Composition and Forest Age Structure for <i>Alternative A</i> on the <i>Chippewa National Forest</i> Relative to the Existing Amounts and to the Range of Natural Variability Values	3.2-19
FAC-17	Trends in Upland Forest Composition and Forest Age Structure for <i>Alternative A</i> on the <i>Superior National Forest</i> Relative to the Existing Amounts and to the Range of Natural Variability Values	3.2-20

Table Number		Page
FAC-18	Trends in Upland Forest Composition and Forest Age Structure for <i>Alternative B</i> on the <i>Chippewa National Forest</i> Relative to the Existing Amounts and to the Range of Natural Variability Values	3.2-21
FAC-19	Trends in Upland Forest Composition and Forest Age Structure for <i>Alternative B</i> on the <i>Superior National Forest</i> Relative to the Existing Amounts and to the Range of Natural Variability Values	3.2-22
FAC-20	Trends in Upland Forest Composition and Forest Age Structure for <i>Alternative C</i> on the <i>Chippewa National Forest</i> Relative to the Existing Amounts and to the Range of Natural Variability Values	3.2-23
FAC-21	Trends in Upland Forest Composition and Forest Age Structure for <i>Alternative C</i> on the <i>Superior National Forest</i> Relative to the Existing Amounts and to the Range of Natural Variability Values	3.2-24
FAC-22	Trends in Upland Forest Composition and Forest Age Structure for <i>Alternative D</i> on the <i>Chippewa National Forest</i> Relative to the Existing Amounts and to the Range of Natural Variability Values	3.2-25
FAC-23	Trends in Upland Forest Composition and Forest Age Structure for <i>Alternative D</i> on the <i>Superior National Forest</i> Relative to the Existing Amounts and to the Range of Natural Variability Values	3.2-26
FAC-24	Trends in Upland Forest Composition and Forest Age Structure for <i>Modified Alternative E</i> on the <i>Chippewa National Forest</i> Relative to the Existing Amounts and to the Range of Natural Variability Values	3.2-27
FAC-25	Trends in Upland Forest Composition and Forest Age Structure for <i>Modified Alternative E</i> on the <i>Superior National Forest</i> Relative to the Existing Amounts and to the Range of Natural Variability Values	3.2-28
FAC-26	Trends in Upland Forest Composition and Forest Age Structure for <i>Alternative F</i> on the <i>Chippewa National Forest</i> Relative to the Existing Amounts and to the Range of Natural Variability Values	3.2-29
FAC-27	Trends in Upland Forest Composition and Forest Age Structure for <i>Alternative F</i> on the <i>Superior National Forest</i> Relative to the Existing Amounts and to the Range of Natural Variability Values	3.2-30
FAC-28	Trends in Upland Forest Composition and Forest Age Structure for <i>Alternative G</i> on the <i>Chippewa National Forest</i> Relative to the Existing Amounts and to the Range of Natural Variability Values	3.2-31
FAC-29	Trends in Upland Forest Composition and Forest Age Structure for <i>Alternative G</i> on the <i>Superior National Forest</i> Relative to the Range of Natural Variability Values	3.2-32
FAC-30	Lowland Conifer Harvest Amounts by Alternative	3.2-33
FAC-31	A Comparison of the Annual Proposed and Probable Harvest Management Practices Proposed Under each Alternative for the Chippewa National Forest	3.2-35
FAC-32	A Comparison of the Annual Proposed and Probable Harvest Management Practices Proposed Under each Alternative for the Superior National Forest	3.2-36

Table Number		Page
FAC-33	Management Area Allocations Contributing to Old-growth and Future Old-growth Forest Conditions on the Chippewa National Forest	3.2-42
FAC-34	Management Area Allocations Contributing to Old-growth and Future Old-growth Forest Conditions on the Superior National Forest	3.2-42
FAC-35	Vegetation Objectives for Age and Spatial Patterns Contributing to Old-growth and Future Old-growth Forest Conditions on the Chippewa National Forest	3.2-44
FAC-36	Vegetation Projections for Age and Spatial Patterns Contributing to Old-growth and Future Old-growth Forest Conditions on the Superior National Forest	3.2-45
FSP-1	Indicator 1: Area and Number of Large Mature/Older Upland Forest Patches within the Chippewa National Forest for existing condition and decades 1, 2, 5, and 10 for each alternative	3.2-57
FSP-2	Indicator 1: Area and Number of Large Mature/Older Upland Forest Patches within the Superior National Forest for existing condition and decades 1, 2, 5, and 10 for each alternative	3.2-58
FSP-3	Indicator 2: Area (Number) of Large Young Upland Forest Patches within the Chippewa and Superior National Forests for existing condition and decades 1, 2, 5, and 10 for each alternative	3.2-65
FSP-4	Indicator 3: Total Area of Interior Forest within Mature or Older Upland Forest Patches within the Chippewa and Superior National Forests for existing condition and decades 1, 2, 5, and 10 for each alternative	3.2-69
FSP-5	Indicator 4: Management Induced Edge Density (mi/mi ²) of Young Upland (UY) and Lowland Young (LY) Forest within the Chippewa and Superior National Forests for existing condition and decades 2, 5, and 10 for each alternative	3.2-72
FID-1	Acres of Mature Spruce/Fir on Superior NF (Including BWCAW) for Decade 0, Decade 1, and Decade 10	3.2-78
FID-2	Acres of Two-storied Red and Jack Pine on Superior and Chippewa NF by Alternative for Decades 1, 2, and 3	3.2-80
3.3 - Wildlife		
WLD-1a	MIH 1 – Young upland forest, associated wildlife species of concern.	3.3.1-5
WLD-1b	MIH 1 – Mature/old upland forest, associated wildlife species of concern.	3.3.1-6
WLD-2a	MIH 2 – Young upland deciduous forest, associated wildlife species of concern	3.3.1-7
WLD-2b	MIH 2 – Mature/old upland deciduous forest, associated wildlife species of concern.	3.3.1-7
WLD-3a	MIH 3 – Young upland northern hardwood forest, associated wildlife species of concern.	3.3.1-8

Table Number		Page
WLD-3b	MIH 3 – Mature/old upland northern hardwood forest, associated wildlife species of concern	3.3.1-8
WLD-4a	MIH 4 – Young upland Aspen-Birch / Aspen-Birch-Conifer forest, associated wildlife species of concern.	3.3.1-9
WLD-4b	MIH 4 – Mature/old upland Aspen-birch/Aspen-Birch-Conifer forest, associated wildlife species of concern	3.3.1-9
WLD-5a	MIH 5 – Young upland conifer forest, associated wildlife species of concern.	3.3.1-10
WLD-5b	MIH 5 – Mature/old upland conifer forest, associated wildlife species of concern	3.3.1-10
WLD-6a	MIH 6 – Young upland spruce-fir forest, associated wildlife species of concern	3.3.1-11
WLD-6b	MIH 6 – Mature/old upland spruce-fir forest, associated wildlife species of concern	3.3.1-11
WLD-7a	MIH 7 – Young upland red & white pine forest, associated wildlife species of concern	3.3.1-12
WLD-7b	MIH 7 – Mature/old upland red & white pine forest, associated wildlife species of concern.	3.3.1-12
WLD-8a	MIH 8 – Young upland jack pine forest, associated wildlife species of concern.	3.3.1-13
WLD-8b	MIH 8 – Mature/old upland jack pine forest, associated wildlife species of concern	3.3.1-13
WLD-9a	MIH 9 – Young lowland black spruce/tamarack forest, associated wildlife species of concern	3.3.1-14
WLD-9b	MIH 9 – Mature/old lowland black spruce/tamarack forest, associated wildlife species of concern	3.3.1-15
WLD-10	MIH 10 – Upland riparian forest, associated wildlife species of concern (Mature/old).	3.3.1-15
WLD-11	MIH 11 - Upland Edge Habitat (management-induced): MIH 11 – associated wildlife species of concern.	3.3.2-1
WLD12/13	MIH 12/13 - Interior Forest, Upland and Lowland; Large patches of Forest: MIH 12/13 – associated wildlife species of concern	3.3.2-2
WLD-14	MIH 14 – Lake and Stream Health associated species of management concern.	3.3.3-2
WTE-1	Existing conditions of designated ATV and snowmobile roads on NFS land (Biological Assessment Lynx Indicators 5 and 6)	3.3.4-4
WTE-2	Total miles low standard roads: OML 1 and 2 roads and temporary roads (Biological Assessment Lynx Indicator 7)	3.3.4-4

Table Number		Page
WTE-3	Acres and percent of 1) total NFS land in LAUs, 2) lynx habitat in LAUs on NFS land and 3) non-habitat in LAUs on NFS land (Excludes all waterbodies and non-NFS land)	3.3.4-5
WTE-4	Resource Protection Methods in Revised Plans for Indicator 15: Canada Lynx.	3.3.4-5
WTE-5	Lynx habitat types: Current conditions on lynx habitat on NFS lands in BWCAW refugium	3.3.4-9
WTE-6	Snowshoe hare habitat acres (in 1000s) (Biological Assessment Lynx Indicator 1)	3.3.4-10
WTE-7	Red squirrel habitat acres (in 1000s) (Biological Assessment Lynx Indicator 2)	3.3.4-10
WTE-8	Conditions for connectivity. Model Results for Decade 1: Type of Harvest – Chippewa and Superior NFs (Biological Assessment Lynx Indicator 3)	3.3.4-11
WTE-9	Conditions for connectivity. Model Results for Decade 3: Type of Harvest Acres (in 1000s) and percent – Chippewa and Superior NFs (Biological Assessment Lynx Indicator 3)	3.3.4-11
WTE-10	Maximum new designated ATV trail for Decade 1 (Biological Assessment Lynx Indicator 4).	3.3.4-12
WTE-11	Maximum new designated snowmobile trail Decade 1 (Biological Assessment Lynx Indicator 5)	3.3.4-12
WTE-12	Estimate of new Construction of Temporary Roads (Biological Assessment Lynx Indicator 6a)	3.3.4-12
WTE-13	Miles of OML 1 and 2 System Roads (Biological Assessment Lynx Indicator 6b)	3.3.4-13
WTE-14	Cross-county ATV and Snowmobile Policies for Alternatives (Biological Assessment Lynx Indicator 7)	3.3.4-13
WTE-15	Potential for ATV Use on Existing OML 1 and 2 and Unclassified Roads (Biological Assessment Lynx Indicator 8)	3.3.4-14
WTE-16	Estimated lynx forest habitat conditions for snowshoe hare habitat, connectivity habitat, denning habitat, and unsuitable habitat in the Drift and Lake Plains (DLP) and Northern Superior Uplands (NSU) Sections	3.3.4-17
WTE-17	Forage habitat and Upland conifer cover habitat (Acres and percent of total upland forest)	3.3.4-21
WTE-18	Existing conditions of designated ATV and snowmobile trails and low standard and temporary roads	3.3.4-22
WTE-19	Resource Protection Methods in Revised Plans for Indicator 16: Gray Wolf	3.3.4-22

Table Number		Page
WTE-20	Acres and percent of young (0-9 year old) upland forest on Chippewa National Forest (Biological Assessment Wolf Indicator 1)	3.3.4-24
WTE-21	Acres and percent of young (0-9 year old) upland forest on Superior National Forest (Biological Assessment Wolf Indicator 1)	3.3.4-24
WTE-22	Acres and percent of upland conifer forest >10 years old on Chippewa National Forest (Biological Assessment Wolf Indicator 2)	3.3.4-25
WTE-23	Acres and percent of upland conifer forest >10 years old on Superior National Forest (Biological Assessment Wolf Indicator 2)	3.3.4-25
WTE-24	Maximum New Designated ATV and Snowmobile Trail for Decade 1 (Biological Assessment Wolf Indicator 3)	3.3.4-26
WTE-25	Cross-country ATV and Snowmobile Policies for Alternatives (Biological Assessment Wolf Indicator 4)	3.3.4-26
WTE-26	Objective Maintenance Level (OML) 1 (Biological Assessment Wolf Indicator 5)	3.3.4-27
WTE-27	Temporary Road on National Forests (Biological Assessment Wolf Indicator 5)	3.3.4-27
WTE-28	Red and White Pine 0-9 year age class (acres regenerated during the last decade of Forest Plan implementation) (Biological Assessment Eagle Indicator 1)	3.3.4-34
WTE-29	Existing conditions of red and white pine forest type (Biological Assessment Eagle Indicator 2a)	3.3.4-34
WTE-30	Existing conditions of red and white pine forest type in age classes \geq 100 years old (Biological Assessment Eagle Indicator 2b)	3.3.4-34
WTE-31	Existing conditions of designated ATV and snowmobile roads and low standard and temporary roads managed by NFs (Biological Assessment Eagle Indicators 2, 4, and 5)	3.3.4-36
WTE-32	Resource Protection Methods in Revised Plans for Indicator 17: Bald Eagle	3.3.4-36
WTE-33	Pine regeneration in Decade 1 (Biological Assessment Eagle Indicator 1)	3.3.4-38
WTE-34	Chippewa NF acres and percent of red and white pine forest type (Biological Assessment Eagle Indicator 2a) (Percent = percent of all upland forest in red and white pine)	3.3.4-39
WTE-35	Superior NF acres and percent of red and white pine forest type (Biological Assessment Eagle Indicator 2a) (Percent = percent of all upland forest in red and white pine)	3.3.4-40
WTE-36	Chippewa NF acres of old-growth red and white pine >100 years old (Biological Assessment Eagle Indicator 2b) (Percent = percent of total red/white pine forest types in \geq 100 yrs.)	3.3.4-41

Table Number		Page
WTE-37	Superior NF acres of old-growth red and white pine > 100 years old (Biological Assessment Eagle Indicator 2b) (Percent = percent of total red/white pine forest types in ≥ 100 yrs.)	3.3.4-41
WTE-38	Maximum New Designated ATV Trail for Decade 1 (Biological Assessment Eagle Indicator 3)	3.3.4-42
WTE-39	Maximum New Designated Snowmobile Trail (Biological Assessment Eagle Indicator 4)	3.3.4-42
WTE-40	Chippewa National Forest OML 1 and 2 System Roads total miles expected to be on the Forest during each decade (Biological Assessment Eagle Indicator 5a±)	3.3.4-42
WTE-41	Superior National Forest OML 1 and 2 System Roads total miles expected to be on the Forest during each decade (Biological Assessment Eagle Indicator 5a±)	3.3.4-43
WTE-42	National Forest Temporary Road Estimates (Biological Assessment Eagle Indicator 5b)	3.3.4-43
WSS-1	Historical, current, and future (Decades 2, 5, and 10) outcomes for RFSS animals on National Forest land	3.3.5-5
WSS-2	Historical, current, and future (Decades 2, 5, and 10) outcomes for RFSS plants on National Forest land	3.3.5-8
WSS-4	Cumulative historical, current, and future (Decades 2, 5, and 10) outcomes for RFSS animals	3.3.5-21
WSS-5	Cumulative historical, current, and future (Decades 2, 5, and 10) outcomes for RFSS plants	3.3.5-24
WNG-1	Goshawk-Indicator 1 – Percentage of All Upland Forest in Mature/Older Upland Forest within the Chippewa and Superior National Forests for existing condition and decades 1, 2, 5, and 10 for each alternative	3.3.6-4
WNG-2	Northern Goshawk-Indicator 2 – Area and Number of 100 acre or Larger Mature/Older Upland Forest Patches within the Chippewa National Forest for existing condition and decades 1, 2, 5, and 10 for each alternative	3.3.6-5
WNG-3	Goshawk-Indicator 2 Superior – Area and Number of 100 acre of Larger Mature/Older Upland Forest Patches within the Superior National Forest for existing condition and decades 1, 2, 5, and 10 for each alternative	3.3.6-6
WNG-4	Goshawk-Indicator 2 – Percentage of All Upland Forest within 100 acre of Larger Mature/Older Upland Forest Patches within the Chippewa and Superior National Forests for existing condition and decades 1, 2, 5, and 10 for each alternative	3.3.6-7

Table Number		Page
WPN-1	Amount of White Pine Forest Type in thousands of acres and by percent of total upland forest. For Superior the totals are outside the BWCAW	3.3.6-14
WPN-2	Acres of White Pine Forest Type in young age class (0-9 years) in Decades 1 and 2. For the Superior acres are outside the BWCAW	3.3.6-14
WPN-3	Acres of White Pine Forest Type 120+ years of age in Decade 10. For the Superior acres are outside the BWCAW	3.3.6-15
WPN-4	Percent of white pine trees of all trees within Landscape Ecosystems (all ownerships)	3.3.6-15
WRG-1	Ruffed Grouse Habitat in Thousands of Acres – Decade 1	3.3.6-38
WRG-2	Grouse Habitat in Thousands of Acres – Decade 2	3.3.6-39
WRG-3	Ruffed Grouse Habitat in Thousands of Acres – Decade 10	3.3.6-39
NIS-1	Non-native invasive species known on Chippewa and Superior National Forests	3.3.7-2
3.4 - Timber		
TMB-2	Type of Harvest Modeled by Forest Type Group	3.4-3
TMB-3	Model Results for Decade 1: Type of Harvest - Chippewa and Superior NFs	3.4-4
TMB-4	Model Results for Decade 3: Type of Harvest – Chippewa and Superior NFs	3.4-4
TMB-6	Total Wood Harvested in Minnesota	3.4-8
TMB-7	Average Timber Sell Volumes from 1992 to 2002	3.4-9
TMB-10	Growth, Mortality and Removals (MCF)	3.4-10
TMB-11	Annual Maximum Sell Volumes for the Chippewa and Superior NFs by Alternative (MMBF)	3.4-12
TMB-12	Acres of Land Suitable for Timber Management by Alternative	3.4-13
TMB-15	Comparing Timber Sell Volumes with Forest Plan Revision Alternatives with Minnesota GEIS Volumes of 4.0 and 4.9 million cords	3.4-14
TMB-20	Ratio of Sawtimber to Pulpwood by Alternative Decades 1 & 10	3.4-20
3.5 - Role of Fire		
FIR-1	Chippewa National Forest Proposed Maximum Acres of Management-ignited Fire by Indicator (acres)	3.5-2
FIR-2	Superior National Forest Proposed Maximum Acres of Management-ignited Fire by Indicator (acres)	3.5-3
FIR-3	Number of Natural and Human-caused Fires on the Superior and Chippewa National Forests, 1980 - 2002	3.5-4
FIR-4	Measured PM _{2.5} Concentrations in Northern Minnesota in ug/m ³	3.5-6
FIR-5	Chippewa National Forest Emissions per Decade	3.5-11

Table Number		Page
FIR-6	Superior National Forest Emissions per Decade	3.5-11
3.6 - Watershed Health		
WSM-1	Watershed Mapping Levels, Approximate Size Classes, and Hydrologic Unit Code Categories by National Forest	3.6-1
WSM-2	Characterization of Management Areas by Relative Level of Management Focus on Watershed Health	3.6-3
WSM-3	Percent of 12-digit Watersheds (and the number of watersheds) That Change to the Next Higher Riparian Road Interaction Index Class by End of Second Decade Due to Road Construction by Road Type	3.6-18
WSM-4	Change in Mean Stream Crossing Density in 12-digit (Hydrologic Unit Code) Watersheds Where Dualplan Treatments Result in Additional Road Building for the Chippewa and Superior National Forests	3.6-21
WSM-5	Percent of Forest Managed above Basic Stewardship Level for Watershed Health	3.6-23
WSM-6	Soil quality associated with treatment activities, temporary roads, OML 1 roads, landings and skid trails for decade 1 (acres) – Chippewa National Forest	3.6-26
WSM-7	Soil quality associated with treatment activities, temporary roads, OML 1 roads, skid trails and landings for decade 2 (acres) – Chippewa National Forest	3.6-27
WSM-8	Soil quality associated with treatment activities, temporary roads, OML 1 road type, landings and skid trails for decade 1 (acres) – Superior National Forest	3.6-28
WSM-9	Soil quality associated with treatment activities, temporary roads, OML 1 road type, landings and skid trails for decade 2 (acres) – Superior National Forest	3.6.29
WSM-10	Soil Quality Associated with Fire Activities (Chippewa National Forest)	3.6-30
WSM-11	Soil Quality Associated with Fire Activities (Superior National Forest)	3.6-30
WSM-12	Acres of Treatment with Potential to Affect Soil Nutrient Cycling on Sensitive Landtypes (LTs) – Chippewa National Forest	3.6-31
WSM-13	Acres of Treatment with Potential to Affect Soil Nutrient Cycling on Sensitive Ecological Landtypes (ELTs) – Superior National Forest	3.6-32
WSM-14	Relationship Between Watershed Indicator #8 and Selected Other Watershed and Riparian Indicators Used in Effects Analysis	3.6-34
WSM-15	Cumulative Effects of Indicators 4, 5 and 6 for Chippewa NF	3.6-35
WSM-16	Cumulative Effects of Indicators 4, 5 and 6 for Superior NF	3.6-35
WSM-17	Number of 6 th Level Watersheds Where Projected Dualplan Treatments Result in the NFS Prorated Share of the 60% Threshold for Upland Open plus Upland Young Forest Being Exceeded	3.6-40

Table Number		Page
WSM-18	Amount of National Forest System Land Ownership in 6 th Level Watersheds Where Indicator #8 is Meaningful (e.g., watersheds where upland comprises 60% or more of the total watershed area)	3.6-42
RFM-1	Total Acres of Vegetation Treatment Activity In 200ft. (Combined Inner and Outer) Modeled Riparian Management Zone	3.6-56
3.7 - Special Designations		
PWA-1	Forest Roadless Area Inventories by Alternative Management Area Allocation	3.7-9
RNA-1	Acres of Existing RNAs on Chippewa and Superior NFs	3.7-14
RNA-2	Level of ecosystem representation on the Chippewa NF depicted as the number of alliances with good representation in a pRNA or unique area out of the total number of alliances with good representation in the pRNA pool	3.7-17
RNA-3	Level of ecosystem representation on the Superior NF depicted as the number of different A- or B-ranked alliances represented in a pRNA or unique area out of the total possible number of A- or B-ranked alliances possible in pRNAs or unique areas within a subsection	3.7-18
RNA-4	Acres of potential RNAs and unique areas (acres) on the Chippewa National Forest	3.7-20
RNA-5	Acres of potential RNAs and unique areas (acres) on the Superior National Forest	3.7-22
RNA-6	Acres proposed for withdrawal from timber base (acres)	3.7-23
3.8 - Recreation		
ROS-1	Minnesota National Forests ROS Inventory (percent)	3.8-3
ROS-2	National Visitor Use Monitoring Summary (annual RVDs)	3.8-4
ROS-3	Minnesota National Forests Practical Maximum Capacity (RVD's per year)	3.8-4
ROS-4	Description of Recreation Opportunity Classes for MN National Forests	3.8-5
ROS-5	Chippewa National Forest's Alternative's ROS Class Objectives (percents)	3.8-8
ROS-6	Superior National Forest's Alternative's ROS Class Objectives (percents)	3.8-9
ROS-7	ROS Class Objectives for Alternatives by Management Area	3.8-13
SQL-1	Scenic Class Matrix	3.8-31
SQL-2	Scenic Class Acreage Inventory for Chippewa and Superior NF	3.8-31
SQL-3	Scenic Integrity Levels by Alternative	3.8-32
SQL-4	Acres of Scenic Integrity Levels for Each Alternative for Chippewa NF	3.8-35
SQL-5	Acres of Scenic Integrity Levels for Each Alternative for Superior NF	3.8-36
RMV-1	Recreational Motor Vehicle Definitions	3.8-42
RMV-2	Maximum New Designated ATV Trail	3.8-49

Table Number		Page
RMV-3	Maximum New Designated Snowmobile Trail	3.8-50
RMV-4	Potential for RMV Use on Existing OML 1 and 2 and Unclassified Roads	3.8-51
RMV-5	Cross-country OHV and Snowmobile Policies for Alternatives	3.8-56
WTA-1	Examples of Water Access Development	3.8-61
WTA-2	Water Classifications and Abbreviations	3.8-62
WTA-3	Chippewa National Forest Lake Inventory	3.8-63
WTA-4	Superior National Forest Lake Inventory	3.8-63
WTA-5	Chippewa National Forest River Segment Inventory	3.8-64
WTA-6	Superior National Forest River Segment Inventory	3.8-64
WTA-7	Development Level Emphasis of New Water Access Sites	3.8-65
3.9 - Social and Economic Sustainability		
ECN-1	Domestic Travel	3.9-6
ECN-2	Employment by Program by Alternative (Avg. Annual, Decade 1) Chippewa NF	3.9-12
ECN-3	Employment by Program by Alternative (Avg. Annual, Decade 1) Superior NF	3.9-12
ECN-4	Chippewa: Labor Income by Program by Alternative (Avg. Annual, Decade 1; \$1,000,000)	3.9-13
ECN-5	Superior: Labor Income by Program by Alternative (Avg. Annual, Decade 1; \$1,000,000)	3.9-13
ECN-6	Chippewa: Employment by Major Industry by Alternative (Average Annual Decade 1)	3.9-14
ECN-7	Superior: Employment by Major Industry by Alternative (Average Annual Decade 1)	3.9-14
ECN-8	Chippewa: Labor Income by Major Industry by Alternative (Average Annual; Decade 1; \$1,000,000)	3.9-15
ECN-9	Superior: Labor Income by Major Industry by Alternative (Average Annual; Decade 1; \$1,000,000)	3.9-15
ECN-10	Chippewa: Cumulative Economic Impacts in 2012	3.9-18
ECN-11	Superior: Cumulative Economic Impacts in 2012	3.9-18
ECN-12	Chippewa NF: Net Present Value	3.9-20
ECN-13	Superior NF: Net Present Value	3.9-20
ECN-14	Chippewa: Forest Service Revenues and Payments to Counties: (Average Annual Decade 1; \$1,000,000)	3.9-22
ECN-15	Superior: Forest Service Revenues and Payments to Counties: (Average Annual Decade 1; \$1,000,000)	3.9-23

Table Number		Page
SSU-1	Racial and/or Ethnic Origin by Counties Associated with the Chippewa and Superior NF	3.9-30

List of Figures

Figure Number		Page
Chapter 1 – Purpose and Need		
PAN-1	Location of the Chippewa and Superior National Forests	1-3
Chapter 2 - Alternatives		
2-1	Developing Alternatives for Forest Plan Revision	2-4
2-2	Chippewa National Forest Plan Revision Final EIS Alternatives	separate
2-3	Superior National Forest Plan Revision Final EIS Alternatives	separate
Chapter 3 – Affected Environment and Environmental Consequences		
3.1 - Introduction		
INT-1	Other Governmental Units near the Chippewa NF	3.1-4
INT-2	Other Governmental Units near the Superior NF	3.1-5
INT-3	Ownership on the Chippewa NF	3.1-6
INT-4	Ownership on the Superior NF	3.1-7
INT-5	Ownership in the Three Counties in and around the Chippewa NF	3.1-10
INT-6	Ownership in the Four Counties in and around the Superior NF	3.1-11
INT-12	Ojibwe Land Cessions by Treaty Year	3.1-13
INT-7	Hierarchical Framework for Ecological Classification System	3.1-16
INT-8	Fifth and Sixth Level Watersheds on the Chippewa NF	3.1-18
INT-9	Fifth and Sixth Level Watersheds on the Superior NF	3.1-19
INT-13	How Landscape Ecosystems on the Chippewa and Superior NFs Fit into the National Hierarchical Framework of Ecological Units	3.1-24
INT-10	Chippewa National Forest Landtype Associations	3.1-26
INT-11	Landtype Associations on the Superior National Forest	3.1-27
3.2 – Forest Vegetation		

Figure Number		Page
FAC-1	Summary of Departure Evaluation Information for Comparing Chippewa National Forest Upland Forest Type and Forest Age Long-Term (100 years) Objectives for All Alternatives to the Range of Natural Variability Values	3.2-18
FAC-2	Summary of Departure Evaluation Information for Comparing Superior National Forest Upland Forest Type and Forest Age Long-Term (100 years) Objectives for All Alternatives to the Range of Natural Variability Values	3.2-18
3.3 - Wildlife		
WLD 1a	Chippewa NF MIH 1a: Upland Forest - Young	3.3.1-55
WLD 1b	Chippewa NF MIH 1b: Upland Forest – Mature/Older	3.3.1-55
WLD 1a	Superior NF MIH 1a: Upland Forest - Young	3.3.1-55
WLD 1b	Superior NF MIH 1b: Upland Forest – Mature/Older	3.3.1-55
WLD 2a	Chippewa NF MIH 2a: Upland Deciduous Forest - Young	3.3.1-56
WLD 2b	Chippewa NF MIH 2b: Upland Deciduous Forest – Mature/Older	3.3.1-56
WLD 2a	Superior NF MIH 2a: Upland Deciduous Forest - Young	3.3.1-56
WLD 2b	Superior NF MIH 2b: Upland Deciduous Forest - Mature/Older	3.3.1-56
WLD 3a	Chippewa NF MIH 3a: Upland Northern Hardwood Forest - Young	3.3.1-57
WLD 3b	Chippewa NF MIH 3b: Upland Northern Hardwood Forest - Mature / Older	3.3.1-57
WLD 3a	Superior NF MIH 3a: Northern Hardwood Forest – Young	3.3.1-57
WLD 3b	Superior NF MIH 3b: Northern Hardwood Forest - Mature / Older	3.3.1-57
WLD 4a	Chippewa NF MIH 4a: Aspen / Birch Forest – Young	3.3.1-58
WLD 4b	Chippewa NF MIH 4b: Aspen / Birch Forest - Mature / Older	3.3.1-58
WLD 4c	Chippewa NF MIH 4c: Aspen / Birch Forest Pole / Immature Stage	3.3.1-58
WLD 4a	Superior NF MIH 4a: Aspen / Birch and Aspen-Birch-Conifer Forest – Young	3.3.1-59
WLD 4b	Superior NF MIH 4b: Aspen / Birch and Aspen-Birch-Conifer Forest - Mature / Older	3.3.1-59
WLD 4c	Superior NF MIH 4c: Aspen / Birch and Aspen-Birch-Conifer Forest Pole / Immature Stage	3.3.1-59
WLD 5a	Chippewa NF MIH 5a: All Upland Conifer Forest – Young	3.3.1-60
WLD 5b	Chippewa NF MIH 5b: All Upland Conifer Forest -Mature / Older	3.3.1-60
WLD 5a	Superior NF MIH 5a: Upland Conifer Forest – Young	3.3.1-60
WLD 5b	Superior NF MIH 5b: Upland Conifer Forest - Mature / Older	3.3.1-60
WLD 6a	Chippewa NF MIH 6a: Spruce / Fir Forest – Young	3.3.1-61
WLD 6b	Chippewa NF MIH 6b: Spruce / Fir Forest - Mature / Older	3.3.1-61

Figure Number		Page
WLD 6a	Superior NF MIH 6a: Spruce / Fir Forest – Young	3.3.1-61
WLD 6b	Superior NF MIH 6b: Spruce / Fir Forest - Mature / Older	3.3.1-61
WLD 7a	Chippewa NF MIH 7a: Red and White Pine Forest – Young	3.3.1-62
WLD 7b	Chippewa NF MIH 7b: Red and White Pine Forest - Mature / Older	3.3.1-62
WLD 7a	Superior NF MIH 7a: Red / White Pine Forest – Young	3.3.1-62
WLD 7b	Superior NF MIH 7b: Red / White Forest - Mature / Older	3.3.1-62
WLD 8a	Chippewa NF MIH 8a: Jack Pine Forest – Young	3.3.1-63
WLD 8b	Chippewa NF MIH 8b: Jack Pine Forest - Mature / Older	3.3.1-63
WLD 8a	Superior NF MIH 8a: Jack Pine Forest – Young	3.3.1-63
WLD 8b	Superior NF MIH 8b: Jack Pine Forest - Mature / Older	3.3.1-63
WLD 9a	Chippewa NF MIH 9a: Lowland B. Spruce / Fir Forest - Young	3.3.1-64
WLD 9b	Chippewa NF MIH 9b: Lowland B. Spruce / Fir Forest - Mature / Older	3.3.1-64
WLD 9a	Superior NF MIH 9a: Lowland B. Spruce - Tamarack Forest – Young.	3.3.1-64
WLD 9b	Superior NF MIH 9b: Lowland B. Spruce - Tamarack Forest - Mature / Older	3.3.1-64
WLD 10a	Chippewa NF MIH 10a: Riparian Upland Forest - Young (zones 0'-200')	3.3.1-65
WLD 10b	Chippewa NF MIH 10b: Riparian Upland Forest Mature/ Older (zones 0'-200')	3.3.1-65
WLD 10a	Superior NF MIH 10a: Upland Riparian Forest – Young	3.3.1-65
WLD 10b	Superior NF MIH 10b: Upland Riparian Forest - Mature / Older	3.3.1-65
WCK 1	Woodcock Breeding Habitat. Acres of Aspen-Birch Habitat (Chippewa National Forest)	3.3.6-25
WCK 2	Woodcock Breeding Habitat: Acres of Aspen-Birch Habitat (Superior National Forest)	3.3.6-25
WCK 3	Acres of Woodcock Habitat (Chippewa National Forest)	3.3.6-26
WCK 4	Acres of Woodcock Habitat (Superior National Forest)	3.3.6-26
WTD 1	Acres of Deer Thermal Cover Habitat (Chippewa National Forest)	3.3.6-32
WTD 2	Acres of Deer Thermal Cover Habitat (Superior National Forest)	3.3.6-32
WTD 3	Acres of Deer Foraging Habitat (Chippewa National Forest)	3.3.6-33
WTD 4	Acres of Deer Foraging Habitat (Superior National Forest)	3.3.6-33
WRG 1	Harvest Treatment (Chippewa National Forest)	3.3.6-42
WRG 2	Harvest Treatment (Superior National Forest)	3.3.6-42
3.4 - Timber		
TMB-1	Type of Harvest, 1987 through 2001, Chippewa and Superior NFs	3.4-2

Figure Number		Page
TMB-5	Harvest from 1945 to Present	3.4-8
TMB-8	Age Class Distribution – Chippewa NF	3.4-9
TMB-9	Age Class Distribution - Superior NF	3.4-10
TMB-13	Volume of Timber Sold by Ownership, Minnesota	3.4-13
TMB-14	Volume Sold from Minnesota’s Public Lands	3.4-14
TMB-16	Chippewa NF – Species/product Mix for Decade 1 by Alternative	3.4-19
TMB-17	Superior NF – Species/product Mix for Decade 1 by Alternative	3.4-19
TMB-18	Chippewa NF – Species/product Mix for Decade 10 by Alternative	3.4-20
TMB-19	Superior NF – Species/product Mix for Decade 10 by Alternative	3.4-20
3.6 - Watershed Health		
WSM-1	Percent of Watersheds with Planned Timber Harvest That Have an Increase in Riparian Road Influence Class Due to Associated Road Construction for the First Two Decades of the Proposed Forest Plan - Chippewa National Forest	3.6-19
WSM-2	Percent of Watersheds with Planned Timber Harvest That Have an Increase in Riparian Road Influence Class Due to Associated Road Construction for the First Two Decades of the Proposed Forest Plan - Superior National Forest	3.6-19
WSM-3	Percent Increase in the Average Number of Stream Crossings Per Stream Mile for Road-related Activities Associated with Planned Timber Harvest – Chippewa National Forest	3.6-22
WSM-4	Percent Increase in the Average Number of Stream Crossings Per Stream Mile for Road-related Activities Associated with Planned Timber Harvest in First Decade - Superior National Forest	3.6-22
RFM-1	Chippewa NF-- Percent Long-lived Species in the Inner (0-100') Riparian Zone	3.6-50
RFM-2	Chippewa NF--Percent Long-lived Species in the Outer (100'-200') Riparian Zone	3.6-50
RFM-3	Superior NF--Percent Long-lived Species in the Inner (0-100') Riparian Zone	3.6-51
RFM-4	Superior NF--Percent Long-lived Species in the Outer (100'-200') Riparian Zone	3.6-51
RFM-5	Chippewa National Forest Age Class Comparison (Average of Composition at End of Decades 2, 5 and 10) in Combined Inner and Outer (0-200') Riparian Zones	3.6-54
RFM-6	Superior National Forest Age Class Composition (Average of Composition at End of Decades 2, 5, and 10) in Combined Inner and Outer (0-200') Riparian Zone	3.6-54

Figure Number		Page
RFM-7	Acres of Planned Regeneration Harvest in Chippewa Coarse Woody Debris Recruitment Areas.	3.6-59
RFM-8	Acres of Planned Regeneration Harvest in Superior Coarse Woody Debris Recruitment Areas Within Ecological Land Types Considered Good to Excellent for Growth of Large Trees.	3.6-59
3.7 – Special Designations		
PWA-1	Roadless Areas Inventoried for Evaluation As Potential Recommendations for Wilderness on the Chippewa National Forest	3.7-5
PWA-2	Roadless Areas Inventoried for Evaluation As Potential Recommendations for Wilderness on the Superior National Forest	3.7-6
3.8 - Recreation		
ROS-1	ROS Class Inventory using Minnesota NF Criteria on the Chippewa National Forest	3.8-14
ROS-2	ROS Class Objectives on the Chippewa National Forest for Alternative A	3.8-15
ROS-3	ROS Class Objectives on the Chippewa National Forest for Alternative B	3.8-16
ROS-4	ROS Class Objectives on the Chippewa National Forest for Alternative C	3.8-17
ROS-5	ROS Class Objectives on the Chippewa National Forest for Alternative D	3.8-18
ROS-6	ROS Class Objectives on the Chippewa National Forest for Modified Alternative E	3.8-19
ROS-7	ROS Class Objectives on the Chippewa National Forest for Alternative F	3.8-20
ROS-8	ROS Class Objectives on the Chippewa National Forest for Alternative G	3.8-21
ROS-9	ROS Class Inventory using Minnesota NF Criteria on the Superior National Forest	3.8-22
ROS-10	ROS Class Objectives on the Superior National Forest for Alternative A	3.8-23
ROS-11.	ROS Class Objectives on the Superior National Forest for Alternative B	3.8-24
ROS-12	ROS Class Objectives on the Superior National Forest for Alternative C	3.8-25
ROS-13	ROS Class Objectives on the Superior National Forest for Alternative D	3.8-26
ROS-14	ROS Class Objectives on the Superior National Forest for Modified Alternative E	3.8-27
ROS-15	ROS Class Objectives on the Superior National Forest for Alternative F	3.8-28
ROS-16	ROS Class Objectives on the Superior National Forest for Alternative G	3.8-29
3.9 - Economic and Social and Stability		
ECN-1	Chippewa National Forest: Diversity Indices by County	3.9-7
ECN-2	Superior National Forest: Diversity Indices by County	3.9-7
ECN-3	Minnesota Timberland by Ownership	3.9-9
ECN-4	Volume of Timber Sold by Ownership	3.9-9

Figure Number		Page
ENC-5	Total County Revenue from all Sources	3.9-21
ECN-6	Amount of County Revenue from Federal PILT, 25% Fund Payments, and Thye-Blatnik Payments.	3.9-21
ECN-7	Percent of County Revenue from Federal PILT, 25% Fund Payments, and Thye-Blatnik Payments	3.9-22
SSU-1	Minnesota Population Change by County 1990-2000 (Chippewa National Forest Social Assessment, pg. 4)	3.9-29
SSU-2	Chippewa National Forest Management Area Acres within the Leech Lake Indian Reservation	3.9-36
SSU-3	Chippewa NF: Management Area Acres within Areas of High Interest to the LLBO within the LLIR	3.9-36
SSU-4	Superior NF: Management Areas by Alternative (percent acres)	3.9-37
SSU-5	Chippewa NF: Land Ownership outside the LLIR and within the LLIR	3.9-37
