



United States
Department of
Agriculture



Forest
Service

September 2003

Toolbox Fire

Recovery Project

Draft Environmental Impact Statement

Volume 1



Table of Contents

Volume 1:

CHAPTER 1 – PURPOSE AND NEED	1-1
CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION	1-2
Underlying Need and Background	1-2
Background and Planning Framework	1-3
Purpose of and Need for Action and the Proposed Action	1-8
Purpose and Need: Maintain snag and down wood habitat while recovering live forest habitat	1-10
Purpose and Need: Reduce Future Surface Fuel Loading in order to influence Subsequent Fire Behavior and Effects	1-12
Purpose and Need: Restore Damaged Riparian Areas	1-13
Purpose and Need: Reduce Insect Infestation by Removing Breeding Habitat for Bark Beetles	1-15
Purpose and Need: Develop a Long-Term Sustainable Forest Maintainable by Natural Fire Functions	1-16
Purpose and Need: Salvage Timber for Merchantable Value	1-18
Scope of the Project, Analysis and Decision Framework	1-19
Document Organization	1-20
Availability of Draft EIS	1-20
CHAPTER 2 - ALTERNATIVES	2-1
CHAPTER 2 Introduction	2-2
Scoping and Public Involvement	2-2
Identification of Issues	2-3
<i>Key Issue: Changes in Motorized Access</i>	2-3
<i>Key Issue: Economic Efficiency and Economic Opportunities</i>	2-4
<i>Key Issue: Effects on Soils, Watersheds, and Aquatic Habitat</i>	2-5
<i>Key Issue: Effects on Wildlife Habitat</i>	2-6
<i>Key Issue: Recovery using a limited-intervention approach vs. Recovery using a full range of active management practices, including commercial salvage.</i>	2-7
Analysis Issues	2-8
Alternative Descriptions	2-9
Alternative A - (No Action)	2-11
Actions Common to all Fully Analyzed Action Alternatives	2-12
Alternative C	2-22
Alternative D	2-29
Alternative E	2-33
Alternative G (Preferred)	2-36
Alternative H	2-41
Mitigation and Resource Protection Measures	2-45
Monitoring	2-57
Alternatives and Design Elements Considered But Not Fully Analyzed	2-58
Comparison of Alternatives	2-64
CHAPTER 3 AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT AND ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES	3-1
CHAPTER 3 INTRODUCTION	3-2
Fire and Fuels	3-3
Forested Vegetation	3-47
Logging systems and Logging Economics	3-111
Wildlife	3-119
Species and Habitats	3-120
Fremont-Winema National Forest Management Indicator Species	3-120
Threatened, Endangered and Sensitive Wildlife Species	3-120
Biological Evaluation for USFWS Listed Threatened, Endangered, and Sensitive Species	3-181

Biological Evaluation for Region 6 Listed Sensitive Species	3-182
Focal Species Identified for the Subprovince Central Oregon/Klamath Basin	3-201
Other Species, Habitats, and Wildlife Issues of Concern	3-218
Geology, Geomorphology, and Soils	3-230
Watershed, Fisheries, and Roads Analysis.....	3-257
Cultural Resources	3-370
Recreation	3-383
Scenery.....	3-393
Economics.....	3-409
Social Resources and Environmental Justice	3-435
Treaty Rights.....	3-441
Endangered, Threatened, Proposed, or Sensitive Plants	3-444
Determination of Effects - Toolbox Fire Portion	3-459
Fremont Portion - Fremont-Winema National Forests Sensitive Plant Species Biological Evaluation	3-461
Determination of Effects - Silver Fire Portion	3-463
Fremont Portion - Fremont-Winema National Forests Sensitive Plant Species Biological Evaluation	3-464
Noxious Weeds	3-466
Range	3-477
Inventoried Roadless Areas and Other Unroaded Areas	3-489
Air Quality	3-508
Other Disclosures	3-520

Volume 2:

CHAPTER 4 – CONSULTATION, COORDINATION, GLOSSARY, AND INDEX.....	4-1
Preparers.....	4-2
List of Agencies, Organizations, and Individuals To Whom the Draft EIS, Summary, or Web Availability Notification Was Sent.....	4-6
Literature Cited	4-8
Glossary	4-33
Index	4-54

APPENDICES

Appendix A - Past, Present and Reasonably Foreseeable Future Activities Within the Cumulative Effects Analysis Area	A-1
Appendix B – Alternative Tables	B-1
Appendix C – Mitigation Details	C-1
Appendix D - Monitoring.....	D-1
Appendix E– Road Management	E-1
Appendix F– Toolbox Content Analysis – Issues That Were Not Key or Analysis Issues.....	F-1

List of Tables:

Table S-1 Comparisons of Activities and Key Issue-Related Effects	S-19
Table 2-1 Average Number of Snags Per Acre Within Harvest Units (Areas of Less than 50 percent Mortality)	2-16
Table 2-2 Average Number of Snags Per Acre Within Harvest Units (Areas of Greater than 50 percent Mortality).....	2-17
Table 2-3 Relationship Between Actions and Purpose and Need	2-22
Table 2-4 Alternative C Estimated Scheduling for Reforestation	2-25

Table 2-5 Alternative C Road Reconstruction.....	2-26
Table 2-6 Alternative D Estimated Scheduling for Reforestation	2-31
Table 2-7 Alternative D Road Reconstruction	2-32
Table 2-8 Alternative E Estimated Scheduling for Reforestation.....	2-35
Table 2-9 Alternative G Estimated Scheduling for Reforestation	2-39
Table 2-10 Alternative H Estimated Scheduling for Reforestation	2-43
Table 2-11 Mitigations Considered, But Not Adopted.....	2-60
Table 2-12 Comparison of Alternatives Based on How They Respond to the Purpose and Need for Action	2-64
Table 2-13 Comparison of Alternatives based on how they respond to the Key Issues	2-66
Table 2-14 Comparisons of Activities and Key Issue-Related Effects.....	2-69
Table 3-1 Residual Weights of Ponderosa Pine Trees by Diameter and representative height.....	3-7
Table 3-2 Fire Starts within the 8 Subwatershed Analysis Area	3-10
Table 3-3 Acres per fire in each Subwatershed	3-10
Table 3-4 Fuel Models.....	3-12
Table 3-5 Fire Regimes	3-14
Table 3-6 Fire History and Existing Conditions by Subwatershed.....	3-15
Table 3-7 Other Notable Existing Conditions by Subwatershed	3-16
Table 3-8 Fuel Loads (Tons per Acre) by Subwatershed	3-17
Table 3-9 BEHAVE Runs Fuel Model Comparison	3-18
Table 3-10 BEHAVE Run Results for Fuel Models 2 and 6	3-21
Table 3-11 BEHAVE Run Results for Fuel Models 8, 10, and 12	3-21
Table 3-12 Average Fuel Loadings (Tons per Acre) in Ground-Based Harvest Units – Prior to Fuels Treatment	3-23
Table 3-13 Acres of Additional Fuels Treatment in Ground-Based Harvest Units	3-23
Table 3-14 General Effectiveness of Fuels Treatments in Ground-Based Harvest Units.....	3-24
Table 3-15 Effectiveness of Varying Fuels Treatment Methods, Specific to Conditions in each Subwatershed	3-24
Table 3-16 Average Fuel Loadings (Tons per Acre) in Ground-Based Harvest Units (if only 14" dbh and greater material is brought to the landing) – Prior to Fuels Treatment.....	3-25
Table 3-17 Effectiveness of Varying Fuels Treatment Methods, Specific to Conditions in each Subwatershed (if only 14" dbh and greater material is brought to the landing).....	3-26
Table 3-18 Average Fuel Loadings (Tons per Acre) in Helicopter Harvest Units – Prior to Fuels Treatment	3-26
Table 3-19 Acres of Fuels Treatment in Helicopter Harvest Units	3-27
Table 3-20 General Effectiveness of Fuels Treatments in Helicopter Harvest Units	3-27
Table 3-21 Acres of Prescribed Fire by Subwatershed.....	3-28
Table 3-22 Fuel Model/Predicted Rate of Spread & Flame lengths - Ground-based Units (All Action Alternatives) – All Subwatersheds Except Upper Duncan Creek	3-30
Table 3-23 Upper Duncan Creek - Fuel Model/Predicted Rate of Spread & Flame lengths - Ground-based Units (All Action Alternatives)	3-30
Table 3-24 Predicted Rate of Spread (ROS) in Chains per Hour & Flame Length in Feet	3-32
Table 3-25 Fuel Model/Predicted ROS & Flame lengths in Helicopter Units (Alternatives C, D, E & and G).....	3-33
Table 3-26 Silviculture Timber Stand Improvement (TSI)	3-35
Table 3-27 Timber Harvest.....	3-36
Table 3-28 Correlation of Tree Size and Numbers Within HRV to Percent of Canopy Closure.....	3-59
Table 3-29 HRV for Stand Density in LOS Ponderosa and Lodgepole Pine Stand Types.....	3-60
Table 3-30 LOS Acres Lost.....	3-68
Table 3-31 Growth Projection for Thinned Plantations.....	3-86
Table 3-32 Growth Projections of Planted Stand – Stocking Level Control Maintained	3-90
Table 3-33 Growth Projections of Planted Stand - Stocking Level Control Not Maintained.....	3-91
Table 3-34 Summary of Scenarios 1, 2, and 3 Treatment Combinations	3-96
Table 3-35 Acres of Proposed Treatment Combinations by Alternative (Includes acres for which no treatment would be proposed), and Relationship to Development of LOS Within the Toolbox Project Area.....	3-105
Table 3-36 Ground-Based Logging Costs Summary	3-114
Table 3-37 Ground-based Log Landings.....	3-115
Table 3-38 Miles of Unclassified Roads to Temporary or Classified Roads.....	3-115
Table 3-39 Temporary Roads	3-116
Table 3-40 Helicopter Landings	3-117

Table 3-41 Economic Comparison of Logging Systems by Alternative	3-117
Table 3-42 Comparison of Delivered Log Prices, Stump-to-Mill Costs, and Potential Returns, by Alternative	3-118
Table 3-43 Breakdown of Sales and Range of Sale Sizes by Alternative	3-118
Table 3-44 Fremont-Winema National Forest Management Indicator Species	3-120
Table 3-45 Assessment of Known Goshawk PFAs Within the Project Area	3-121
Table 3-46 Percent Cover and Habitat Effectiveness for Entire Project Area (Summer Range, Transition Range and Winter Range)	3-126
Table 3-47 Percent Cover by Subwatershed (S=Summer Range, T=Transition Range and W=Winter Range)	3-127
Table 3-48 Habitat Effectiveness (Percent) by Subwatershed (S=Summer Range, T=Transition Range and W=Winter Range)	3-127
Table 3-49 Effects of Cover Loss in Alternatives C and G	3-128
Table 3-50 Red-naped Sapsucker Detections – 1994 to 2001	3-135
Table 3-51 Average Snags per Acre / Low Elevation Ponderosa Pine Less Than 50 Percent Mortality	3-140
Table 3-52 Average Snags per Acre / High Elevation Ponderosa Pine Less Than 50 Percent Mortality	3-141
Table 3-53 Average Number of Snags/Acre in Harvest Units With Greater Than 50 Percent Mortality	3-142
Table 3-54 Estimated Snag Density Numbers Based on Volume in the Greater Than 50 Percent Mortality Areas	3-146
Table 3-55 Snag Densities for Seven Cavity Nesting Species at 30, 50, and 80 Percent Tolerance Level	3-146
Table 3-56 Existing Tolerance Level for Cavity Nesting Species Within the Project Area*	3-147
Table 3-57 Total Acres of <i>Identified</i> Optimal Black-backed Woodpecker Habitat by Subshed	3-149
Table 3-58 Acres of Greater than 40 Percent Canopy Closure and Greater Than 50 Percent Mortality by Subshed	3-149
Table 3-59 Total Acres of <i>Identified</i> Optimal Lewis' Woodpecker Habitat by Subshed	3-151
Table 3-60 Acres of 11 to 40 Percent Canopy Closure in Greater than 50 Percent Mortality Ponderosa Pine or Ponderosa Pine Dominated Mixed Conifer	3-151
Table 3-61 Detections of Lewis' Woodpeckers at Bottle Springs - 1994 to 2001	3-152
Table 3-62 Alternative C Tolerance Level for Cavity Nesting Species Within the Project Area*	3-155
Table 3-63 Alternative C Total Acres of <i>Identified</i> Optimal Black-backed Woodpecker Habitat and Acres Within the Project That Are High Canopy Closure and Greater than 50 Percent Mortality by Subshed	3-156
Table 3-64 Alternative C Total Acres of <i>Identified</i> Optimal Lewis' Woodpecker Habitat by Subshed and Acres of 11 to 40 Percent Canopy Closure and Greater Than 50 Percent Mortality in Ponderosa Pine or Ponderosa Pine-Dominated Mixed Conifer	3-157
Table 3-65 Alternative C Summary of Harvest and No Harvest, Site Prep and Fuel Reduction (Felling of All Material Less Than 13 inches dbh) and Reforestation, Total Acres of <i>Identified</i> and Suitable Habitat and Tolerance Levels for Black-backed Woodpeckers and Lewis' Woodpeckers	3-158
Table 3-66 Alternative D Tolerance Level for Cavity Nesting Species Within the Project Area*	3-159
Table 3-67 Alternative D Total Acres of <i>Identified</i> Optimal Black-backed Woodpecker Habitat by Subshed and Acres with Greater Than 40 Percent Canopy closure and Greater Than 50 Percent Mortality by Subshed	3-161
Table 3-68 Alternative D Total Acres of <i>Identified</i> Optimal Lewis' Woodpecker Habitat by Subshed and Acres with 11 to 40 Percent Canopy Closure and Greater Than 50 Percent Mortality in Ponderosa Pine or Ponderosa Pine-Dominated Mixed Conifer	3-162
Table 3-69 Alternative D Summary of Harvest and No Harvest, Site Prep and Fuel Reduction (Felling of All Material Less Than 13 inches dbh) and Reforestation, Total Acres of <i>Identified</i> and Suitable Habitat and Tolerance Levels for Black-backed Woodpeckers and Lewis' Woodpeckers	3-163
Table 3-70 Alternative E Tolerance Level for Cavity Nesting Species Within the Project Area*	3-164
Table 3-71 Alternative E Total Acres of <i>Identified</i> Optimal Black-backed Woodpecker Habitat by Subshed and Acres with Greater Than 40 Percent Canopy Closure and Greater Than 50 Percent Mortality by Subshed	3-166
Table 3-72 Alternative E Total Acres of <i>Identified</i> Optimal Lewis' Woodpecker Habitat by Subshed and Acres with 11 to 40 Percent Canopy Closure and Greater Than 50 Percent Mortality in Ponderosa Pine or Ponderosa Pine-Dominated Mixed Conifer	3-167
Table 3-73 Alternative E Summary of Harvest and No Harvest, Site Prep and Fuel Reduction (Felling of All Material Less Than 13 inches dbh) and Reforestation, Total Acres of <i>Identified</i> and Suitable Habitat and Tolerance Levels for Black-backed Woodpeckers and Lewis' Woodpeckers	3-168
Table 3-74 Alternative G Tolerance Level for Cavity Nesting Species Within the Project Area*	3-169
Table 3-75 Alternative G Total Acres of <i>Identified</i> Optimal Black-backed Woodpecker Habitat by Subshed and Acres with Greater Than 40 Percent Canopy Closure and Greater Than 50 Percent Mortality by Subshed	3-171

Table 3-76 Alternative G Total Acres of <i>Identified</i> Optimal Lewis' Woodpecker Habitat by Subshed and Acres with 11 to 40 Percent Canopy Closure and Greater Than 50 Percent Mortality in Ponderosa Pine or Ponderosa Pine Dominated Mixed Conifer	3-172
Table 3-77 Alternative G Summary of Harvest and No Harvest, Site Prep and Fuel Reduction (Felling of All Material Less Than 13 inches dbh) and Reforestation, Total Acres of <i>Identified</i> and Suitable Habitat and Tolerance Levels for Black-backed Woodpeckers and Lewis' Woodpeckers.....	3-173
Table 3-78 Alternative H Tolerance Level for Cavity Nesting Species Within the Project Area*.....	3-174
Table 3-79 Alternative H Total Acres of <i>Identified</i> Optimal Black-backed Woodpecker Habitat by Subshed and Acres with Greater Than 40 Percent Canopy Closure and Greater Than 50 Percent Mortality by Subshed	3-176
Table 3-80 Alternative H Total Acres of <i>Identified</i> Optimal Lewis' Woodpecker Habitat by Subshed and Acres with 11 to 40 Percent Canopy Closure and Greater Than 50 Percent Mortality in Ponderosa Pine or Ponderosa Pine Dominated Mixed Conifer	3-177
Table 3-81 Alternative H Summary of Harvest and No Harvest, Site Prep and Fuel Reduction (Felling of All Material Less Than 13 inches dbh) and Reforestation, Total Acres of <i>Identified</i> and Suitable Habitat and Tolerance Levels for Black-backed Woodpeckers and Lewis' Woodpeckers.....	3-178
Table 3-82 Threatened, Endangered, and Sensitive Species	3-180
Table 3-83 Threatened, Endangered, and Sensitive Species Affected by the Toolbox Fire Recovery Project	3-182
Table 3-84 Region 6 Sensitive Species Affected by The Toolbox Fire Recovery Project	3-182
Table 3-85 Gray Flycatcher Sightings at Graham Creek.....	3-193
Table 3-86 Focal Species Identified for the Subprovince Central Oregon/Klamath Basin	3-201
Table 3-87 Snag Densities for White-Headed Woodpecker at 30, 50, and 80 Percent Tolerance Level.....	3-202
Table 3-88 Pygmy Nuthatch Sightings at Graham Creek and West Fork Silver Creek	3-205
Table 3-89 Chipping Sparrow Sightings at Graham Creek, Bottle Springs, and West Fork Silver Creek	3-207
Table 3-90 Brown Creeper sightings at Bottle Springs, Graham Creek, Guyer Creek, and West Fork Silver Creek	3-209
Table 3-91 Williamson's Sapsucker Sightings at Bottle Springs, Graham Creek, and West Fork Silver Creek.....	3-210
Table 3-92 Hermit thrush sightings at Bottle Springs, Graham Creek, Guyer Creek, and West Fork Silver Creek.....	3-214
Table 3-93 Olive-sided Flycatcher Sightings at Bottle Springs and Graham Creek.....	3-216
Table 3-94 Other Species, Habitats, and Wildlife Issues of Concern.....	3-218
Table 3-95 Management Area 3 and 14 Allocated Old Growth Within the Project Area	3-220
Table 3-96 Effects of Cover Loss in Alternatives C and G	3-225
Table 3-97 Case Studies Used to Assess Sediment Levels and Recovery Responses	3-237
Table 3-98 Post Fire Mortality as Indicator of Humus Re-Supply Conditions.....	3-241
Table 3-99 Evidence of Equipment	3-241
Table 3-100 Evidence of Soil Compaction.....	3-242
Table 3-101 Live Ground Cover Recovery and Erosion Rates – Checkpoints 1 and 2	3-245
Table 3-102 Silver Fire Portion Mortality as Indicator of Humus Re-Supply Conditions	3-248
Table 3-103 Toolbox Fire Portion Mortality as Indicator of Humus Re-Supply Conditions	3-248
Table 3-104 Live Ground Cover Recovery Sequence by Case Study	3-249
Table 3-105 Sediment Estimates in tons per acre (t/ac) using WEPP Technology for Seven Case Studies.....	3-251
Table 3-106 Sediment for Harvest Units 130, 131, 133 and 134 in tons per year (t/y) and for Temporary Roads	3-251
Table 3-107 Channel Drainage Densities as affected by Roads Densities	3-252
Table 3-108 Sediment Reductions by Alternative Due to Road Decommissioning or Closure	3-252
Table 3-109 RHCA Standard Widths, per Stream Side.....	3-262
Table 3-110 Hydrologic Unit Code and Associated Fire.....	3-267
Table 3-111 Percent of each Subwatershed Burned and associated burn severity	3-267
Table 3-112 Miles of Riparian Area Burned on National Forest System Lands within the Silver Fire Portion.....	3-268
Table 3-113 Recorded 7-day Average Daily Maximum Temperature at 303(d) Monitoring Sites.	3-270
Table 3-114 Temperature Monitoring Sites	3-270
Table 3-115 Percent Fines less than 6.4 mm within Project Area.	3-270
Table 3-116 Activities by Alternative within Project Area.	3-273
Table 3-117 Estimates of Sediment Yield from Roads for the Silver Fire portion.....	3-287
Table 3-118 Road Management within RHCA for the Silver Fire Portion.....	3-288
Table 3-119 Proposed RHCA for Alternative C and E for the Silver Fire portion.....	3-288
Table 3-120 Estimates of Sediment Yield from Roads for the Silver Fire portion.....	3-290
Table 3-121 Road Management within RHCA for the Silver Fire Portion.....	3-291
Table 3-122 Proposed RHCA for Alternative C and E for the Silver Fire portion.....	3-291

Table 3-123 Index Total for Hydrologic Soil Group C and D	3-294
Table 3-124 Percent of Subwatershed in Hydrologic Soil Group C and D	3-295
Table 3-125 Mass Wasting Characteristics of each Subwatershed	3-295
Table 3-126 Index for Mass Wasting Characteristics	3-296
Table 3-127 Rain-on-Snow Index Rating for each Subwatershed	3-297
Table 3-128 Drainage Density for each Subwatershed	3-297
Table 3-129 Watershed Relief Ratio for each Subwatershed	3-298
Table 3-130 Centroid Elevation of each Subwatershed and Associated Rank	3-298
Table 3-131 Overall Subwatershed Sensitivity	3-298
Table 3-132 Natural or Near Natural Frequency of Pools in Northern Great Basin Stream*	3-302
Table 3-133 Natural or Near Natural Frequency for Large Pools in Northern Great Basin Streams	3-302
Table 3-134 Natural or Near Natural Frequency of LWD in Northern Great Basin Streams	3-303
Table 3-135 Benny Creek Subwatershed Channel Condition	3-306
Table 3-136 Benny Creek Direct and Indirect Effects	3-309
Table 3-137 Summary Table - Benny Creek Subwatershed Cumulative Watershed Effects	3-312
Table 3-138 East Duncan Creek Subwatershed Channel Condition	3-314
Table 3-139 East Duncan Creek Direct and Indirect Effects	3-316
Table 3-140 Summary Table – East Duncan Creek Subwatershed Cumulative Watershed Effects	3-319
Table 3-141 Lower Duncan Creek Subwatershed Channel Condition	3-321
Table 3-142 Lower Duncan Creek Direct and Indirect Effects	3-323
Table 3-143 Summary Table – Lower Duncan Creek Subwatershed Cumulative Watershed Effects	3-326
Table 3-144 Middle Silver Creek Subwatershed Channel Condition	3-328
Table 3-145 Middle Silver Creek Direct and Indirect Effects by Alternative	3-330
Table 3-146 Summary Table – Middle Silver Creek Subwatershed Cumulative Watershed Effects	3-333
Table 3-147 Aquatic Habitat Rating in Guyer Creek, Summer 2000	3-335
Table 3-148 Channel Condition for Intermittent Streams within Thompson Reservoir Subwatershed	3-336
Table 3-149 Thompson Reservoir Direct and Indirect Effects by Alternative	3-339
Table 3-150 Summary Table – Thompson Reservoir Subwatershed Cumulative Watershed Effects	3-342
Table 3-151 Upper Duncan Creek Subwatershed Channel Condition	3-344
Table 3-152 Upper Duncan Creek Direct and Indirect Effects by Alternative	3-346
Table 3-153 Summary Table – Upper Duncan Creek Subwatershed Cumulative Watershed Effects	3-349
Table 3-154 Aquatic Habitat Ratings in Silver Creek, Summer 2000	3-351
Table 3-155 Upper Silver Creek Direct and Indirect Effects by Alternative	3-354
Table 3-156 Summary Table – Upper Silver Creek Subwatershed Cumulative Watershed Effects	3-357
Table 3-157 Aquatic Habitat Ratings in West Fork Silver Creek, Summer 2000	3-360
Table 3-158 Aquatic Habitat Ratings in North Fork Silver Creek, Summer 2000	3-361
Table 3-159 Temperature Monitoring Sites in West Fork Silver Creek Subwatershed	3-362
Table 3-160 West Fork Silver Creek Direct and Indirect Effects by Alternative	3-365
Table 3-161 Summary Table – West Fork Silver Creek Subwatershed Cumulative Watershed Effects	3-368
Table 3-162 Sensitive Species Biological Evaluation Summary for the Toolbox Fire Recovery Project	3-371
Table 3-163 Comparison of Direct and Indirect Effects on Cultural Resources by Alternative (1)	3-384
Table 3-164 Scenic Corridor Burn Intensity Within the Toolbox Fire Recovery Project	3-398
Table 3-165 Costs of Other Activities	3-415
Table 3-166 Unit Costs	3-415
Table 3-167 Net Timber Value	3-416
Table 3-168 Future Timber Volume and Value	3-416
Table 3-169 Management Scenario (Alternative A – No Planting)	3-417
Table 3-170 Management Scenario - Alternative A –Planting	3-418
Table 3-171 Management Scenario - Alternative C	3-419
Table 3-172 Management Scenario - Alternative D	3-421
Table 3-173 Management Scenario - Alternative E	3-423
Table 3-174 Management Scenario - Alternative G	3-424
Table 3-175 Management Scenario - Alternative H	3-426
Table 3-176 Economic Comparison of Alternatives - Millions of Dollars	3-429
Table 3-177 PNV Current Projects Only – 4 Percent	3-429
Table 3-178 PNV Current Projects Only – 10 Percent	3-430

Table 3-179 PNV Long Term Management – 4 Percent.....	3-430
Table 3-180 Components of Long-Term Present Net Value – 4 Percent Discount Rate.....	3-431
Table 3-181 Economic Impact of Timber Sale Activities	3-432
Table 3-182 Economic Impact of Other Activities.....	3-433
Table 3-183 Total Jobs by Localized Sector	3-434
Table 3-184 Road Access within Former Klamath Indian Reservation Lands.....	3-445
Table 3-185 Sensitive Plant Biological Evaluation Pre-field Review (USDA Forest Service, Region 6, 1999).....	3-448
Table 3-186 Distribution of Occupied <i>Castilleja chlorotica</i> Habitat	3-450
Table 3-187 Cultural, Food and Medicinal Plants	3-451
Table 3-188 Summary of Conclusion of Effects for Toolbox Fire Portion of Toolbox Fire Recovery Project.....	3-463
Table 3-189 Summary of Effects for Silver Fire Portion of Toolbox Fire Recovery Project.....	3-466
Table 3-190 Factors Affecting the Risk of Noxious Weed Infestation in the Toolbox Project	3-473
Table 3-191 Range – Permitted Use on Allotments Within the Toolbox Fire Recovery Project Area	3-480
Table 3-192 Range – 2003 Authorized Use for Allotments Within the Toolbox Fire Recovery Project Area	3-481
Table 3-193 Range – Allotment Acres Within the Toolbox Fire Recovery Project Area	3-482
Table 3-194 Burn Severities for Non-Forested Plant Communities Within the Toolbox Project Area, Based on BAER.....	3-484
Table 3-195 Tree Mortality in Non-forested Plant Communities Within the Toolbox Project Area (Hall 2003)	3-487
Table 3-196 Unloaded Areas.....	3-494
Table 3-197 Action Alternatives - Activities Within the Unloaded Areas on ONRC Submitted Map	3-496
Table 3-198 Action Alternatives - Road Management of Classified Roads in or near the Unloaded Areas on ONRC Submitted Map	3-498
Table 3-199 Summary of Threatened, Endangered, or Sensitive Species With a Presence (or Habitat Presence) within Unloaded Area	3-501
Table 3-200 EPA National Air Quality Standards for Criteria Pollutants	3-511
Table 3-201 Total Tons of Particulate Matter Produced by Burn Type and Alternative	3-518

List of Figures:

Map 1 – Vicinity Map	Map Packet
Map 2 – Subwatersheds.....	Map Packet
Map 3 – Fremont National Forest Land Management Areas – Silver Portion	Map Packet
Map 4 – Fremont National Forest Land Management Areas – Toolbox Portion	Map Packet
Map 5 – Alternative C Commercial Salvage – Silver Portion.....	Map Packet
Map 6– Alternative C Commercial Salvage – Toolbox Portion.....	Map Packet
Map 7 – Alternative C Road Management and Riparian/Wildlife Projects – Silver Portion.....	Map Packet
Map 8 – Alternative C Road Management and Riparian/Wildlife Projects – Toolbox Portion.....	Map Packet
Map 9 – Alternative C Prescribed Fire	Map Packet
Map 10 – Alternative D Commercial Salvage – Silver Portion.....	Map Packet
Map 11 – Alternative D Commercial Salvage – Toolbox Portion.....	Map Packet
Map 12 – Alternative D Road Management and Riparian/Wildlife Projects – Silver Portion	Map Packet
Map 13 – Alternative D Road Management and Riparian/Wildlife Projects – Toolbox Portion	Map Packet
Map 14 – Alternative D Prescribed Fire.....	Map Packet
Map 15 – Alternative E Commercial Salvage – Silver Portion	Map Packet
Map 16 – Alternative E Commercial Salvage – Toolbox Portion	Map Packet
Map 17 – Alternative E Road Management and Riparian/Wildlife Projects – Silver Portion.....	Map Packet
Map 18 – Alternative E Road Management and Riparian/Wildlife Projects – Silver Portion.....	Map Packet
Map 19 – Alternative G Commercial Salvage – Silver Portion.....	Map Packet
Map 20 – Alternative G Commercial Salvage – Toolbox Portion.....	Map Packet
Map 21 – Alternative G Road Management and Riparian/Wildlife Projects – Silver Portion	Map Packet
Map 22 – Alternative G Road Management and Riparian/Wildlife Projects – Toolbox Portion	Map Packet
Map 23 – Alternative G Additional Fuels Treatment	Map Packet
Map 24 – Alternative G Prescribed Fire and Additional Fuels Treatment	Map Packet
Map 25 – Alternative H Commercial Salvage – Silver Portion.....	Map Packet
Map 26 – Alternative H Commercial Salvage – Toolbox Portion.....	Map Packet
Map 27 – Alternative H Road Management and Riparian/Wildlife Projects – Silver Portion	Map Packet

Map 28 – Alternative H Road Management and Riparian/Wildlife Projects – Toolbox Portion	Map Packet
Map 29 – Alternative H Prescribed Fire	Map Packet
Map 30 – All Action Alternatives - Reforestation Area Based on Vegetation Mortality Mapping – Silver Portion	Map Packet
Map 31 – All Action Alternatives - Reforestation Area Based on Vegetation Mortality Mapping – Toolbox Portion	Map Packet
Map 32 – Old Growth – Silver Fire	Map Packet
Map 33 – Old Growth – Toolbox Fire	Map Packet
Map 34 – Temporary Road Development – Silver Portion.....	Map Packet
Map 35 – Temporary Road Development – Toolbox Portion.....	Map Packet
Figure S-1 Toolbox Fire Recovery Project Vicinity Map	S-2
Figure 2-1 Road Reconstruction – Silver Portion	2-27
Figure 2-2 Road Reconstruction – Toolbox Portion	2-28
Figure 3-1 Forested Vegetation Stand Types Within the Project Area – Silver Portion	3-54
Figure 3-2 Forested Vegetation Stand Types within the Project Area - Toolbox Portion.....	3-55
Figure 3-3 ISAT Data Showing the Distribution of Ponderosa Pine LOS, by Percent of Canopy Closure, Within Silver Lake and Silver Creek Watersheds (Scenario 1).....	3-62
Figure 3-4 Figure 3-4 ISAT Data Showing the Distribution of Ponderosa Pine LOS, by Percent of Canopy Closure, Within Silver Lake and Silver Creek Watersheds (Scenario 2).....	3-63
Figure 3-5 Vegetative Mortality Within the Silver Fire	3-66
Figure 3-6 Vegetative Mortality Within the Toolbox Fire	3-67
Figure 3-7 Figure 3-7 Current Condition - Percent Mortality or Null (Unburned), by ISAT Satellite Imagery Classification by Subshed	3-70
Figure 3-8 Summary of the Toolbox Fire Recovery Project Post-fire Condition – Scenario 1.....	3-72
Figure 3-9 Summary of the Toolbox Fire Recovery Project Post-fire Condition – Scenario 2	3-73
Figure 3-10 Past Harvest Activities – Silver Portion	3-75
Figure 3-11 Past Harvest Activities – Toolbox Portion	3-76
Figure 3-12 Past Planting Activities – Silver Portion.....	3-77
Figure 3-13 Past Planting Activities – Toolbox Portion.....	3-78
Figure 3-14 Past Fuel Reduction Activities – Silver Portion	3-79
Figure 3-15 Past Fuel Reduction Activities – Toolbox Portion	3-80
Figure 3-16 Meadow Encroachment Areas That Would Not Be Included In Planting – Silver Portion.....	3-87
Figure 3-17 Meadow Encroachment Areas That Would Not Be Included In Planting – Toolbox Portion	3-88
Figure 3-18 Alternative C Proposed Harvest by Subwatershed, Ecodom, and September 2002 Vegetative Mortality....	3-97
Figure 3-19 Alternative D Proposed Harvest by Subwatershed, Ecodom, and September 2002 Vegetative Mortality	3-98
Figure 3-20 Alternative E Proposed Harvest by Subwatershed, Ecodom, and September 2002 Vegetative Mortality	3-99
Figure 3-21 Alternative G Proposed Harvest by Subwatershed, Ecodom, and September 2002 Vegetative Mortality...	3-100
Figure 3-22 Alternative H Proposed Harvest by Subwatershed, Ecodom, and September 2002 Vegetative Mortality...	3-101
Figure 3-23 Example of Black-Backed Woodpecker Habitat Within the Project Area	3-148
Figure 3-24 Example of Lewis' Woodpecker Habitat Within the Project Area.....	3-150
Figure 3-25 Silver Fire Case Study	3-238
Figure 3-26 Toolbox Fire Case Study	3-239
Figure 3-27 Silver Fire Humus Re-Supply Conditions for Soil Fertility	3-246
Figure 3-28 Toolbox Fire Humus Re-Supply Conditions for Soil Fertility	3-247
Figure 3-29 Silver Creek and West Fork Silver Creek Segments on 303d List	3-267
Figure 3-30 0-25 Percent Mortality	3-398
Figure 3-31 51-85 Percent Mortality.....	3-399
Figure 3-32 86-100 Percent Mortality	3-399
Figure 3-33 Region 6 Prescribed Fire and Wildfire Emissions	3-516
Figure 3-34 Total PM Emissions	3-519
Figure 3-35 Alternative C by Treatment Type	3-519
Figure 3-36 Alternative D by Treatment Type.....	3-519
Figure 3-37 Alternative E by Treatment Type	3-520
Figure 3-38 Alternative G by Treatment Type.....	3-520
Figure 3-39 Alternative H by Treatment Type.....	3-520