

Secure Rural Schools and Community Self-Determination Act of 2000
Public Law 106-393
Title II Project Submission Form
**Northeast Oregon Forests Resource Advisory
Committee**

1. Project Number (Assigned by Designated Federal Official) **GR-MAL04-413**

2. Project Name: Clear Creek Biomass Removal	3. County: Grant
4. Project Sponsor: Ryan Falk, District Ranger	5. Date: 11/12/2002
6. Sponsor's Phone Number: (541) 820-3311	
7. Sponsors E-mail: rjfalk@fs.fed.us or lmelgado@fs.fed.us (Lance Delgado)	

8. Project Location (attach project area map)	
a. 4 th Field Watershed Name and HUC #: Middle Fork John Day River	
b. 5 th Field Watershed Name and HUC # (if known): Upper Middle Fork John Day River	
c. Location: Township <u>12S</u> Range <u>35E</u> Section(s) <u>4, 9, 10, 15, 16</u> Township <u>13S</u> Range <u>35E</u> Section(s) <u>1, 2, 11, 12</u> Township _____ Range _____ Section(s) _____ Township _____ Range _____ Section(s) _____ Township _____ Range _____ Section(s) _____ Township _____ Range _____ Section(s) _____	
d. BLM District	e. BLM Resource Area
f. National Forest Malheur	g. Forest Service District Prairie City
h. State / Private / Other lands involved? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

9. Statement of Project Goals and Objectives: (max. 7 lines) Goals: Affect changes to stands that result in a variety of tree sizes and species. Large trees will occur as residuals from the previously unmanaged stand. Treatment will result in a diverse residual stand containing areas of open conditions intermixed with fully forested areas. Objectives: Thin stands and remove understory vegetation in size classes less than 10" dbh to remove standing live and dead fuels, remove small diameter diseased and damaged trees, recover commercial value of small diameter trees (<10" dbh).

10. Project Description: (max. 30 lines.) This project was originally designed as Clear Salvage as stand reinitiation and stand reinitiation with retention. Market values for small diameter trees (<7" dbh) did not allow harvest to be completed as designed. All other post harvest treatments (diseased and damaged tree removal and activity related fuels treatment) have been postponed, awaiting the completion of tree removal. Utilize tree down to 5" dbh, leave all ponderosa pine and healthy well formed western larch and Douglas fir and A-crown damage free lodgepole and grand fir. Remove all trees with dwarf mistletoe

or infections in the upper half of the crown. Remove suppressed grand fir and Douglas fir.

All material may be utilized upon removal or decked and made available for sale or provided as free use firewood. Residual material will be piled and burned.

11. Coordination of this project with other related project(s) on adjacent lands?

Yes No **If yes, then describe** (max. 10 lines)

This project is a result of the Clear Creek Analysis which included the Foggy, Misty, Rain, Sleet, Hail timber sales. These entries, along with Knob and Garp timber sales were designed to treat vegetation and fuels on a landscape scale within the Clear Creek drainage to aggregate fuels treated areas and develop a large area of fire resistant forest structures and species.

12. How does proposed project meet purposes of the Legislation? [Sec. 203(b)(1)]

Improves maintenance of existing infrastructure. [Sec. 2(b)]

Implements stewardship objectives that enhance forest ecosystems. [Sec. 2(b)]

Restores and improves land health. [Sec. 2(b)]

Restores water quality. [Sec. 2(b)]

13. Project Type (check one) [Sec. 203(b)(1)]

Road Maintenance [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)]

Trail Maintenance [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)]

Road Decommission/Obliteration [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)]

Trail Obliteration [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)]

Other Infrastructure Maintenance (specify): [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)]

Soil Productivity Improvement [Sec. 2(b)(2)(B)]

Forest Health Improvement [Sec. 2(b)(2)(C)]

Watershed Restoration & Mntc. [Sec. 2(b)(2)(D)]

Wildlife Habitat Restoration [Sec. 2(b)(2)(E)]

Fish Habitat Restoration [Sec. 2(b)(2)(E)]

Control of Noxious Weeds [Sec. 2(b)(2)(F)]

Reestablish Native Species [Sec. 2(b)(2)(G)]

Other Project Type (specify) [Sec. 2(b)(2)]:

14. Measure of Project Accomplishments/Expected Outcomes [Sec. 203(b)(5)]

a. Total Acres:502

b. Total Miles:

c. No. Structures:

d. Est. People Reached

e. No. Laborer Days:

(for environmental education projects):

f. Other (specify):

15. Estimated Completion Date: [Sec. 203(b)(2)]November, 30 2004

16. Target Species Benefited: (if applicable) (max. 7 lines) The completion of the Clear Salvage Project will helpT

17. How will cooperative relationships among people that use federal lands be improved? [Sec. 2(b)(3)] (max. 12 lines)

The completion of the Clear Creek Project will help the Malheur National Forest meet it's commitment to provide products to the local community. Stands will be managed to be more resilient and provide easier protection against high severity fires. Jobs will be provided to local crews thus, injecting money into the local community.

18. How is this project in the best public interest? [Sec. 203(b)(7)] **Identify benefits to communities.** (max. 12 lines)

This project will thin over stocked stands and reduce hazardous fuels on 502 acres and supply miscellaneous forest products and hog fuel to the local forest industry and provide employment to local contractors and their employees.

19. How does project benefit federal lands/resources? (max. 12 lines)

20. Status of Project Planning			
a. NEPA Complete:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No	
If no, give est. date of completion:			
c. NMFS Sec. 7 ESA Consultation Complete:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No	
d. USFWS Sec. 7 ESA Consultation Complete:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No	
e. Survey & Manage Complete:	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not Applicable
f. DSL/ODFW* Permits for In-stream Work Obtained:	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not Applicable
g. DSL/COE* 404 Fill/Removal Permit Obtained:	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not Applicable
h. SHPO* Concurrence Received:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Not Applicable
i. Project Design(s) Completed:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No	
* DSL = Dept. of State Lands, ODFW = Oregon Dept.of Fish and Wildlife, COE = Army Corps of Engineers, SHPO = State Historic Preservation Officer			

21. Proposed Method(s) of Accomplishment (<i>check those that apply</i>)	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Contract	<input type="checkbox"/> Federal Workforce
<input type="checkbox"/> County Workforce	<input type="checkbox"/> Volunteers
<input type="checkbox"/> Other (specify):	

22. Will the Project Generate Merchantable Materials? [Sec. 204(e)(3)]

Yes No

23. Anticipated Project Costs [Sec. 203(b)(3)]	
a. Total County Title II Funds Requested: \$180,247	
b. Is this a multi-year funding request? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No If yes, then display by fiscal year	
c. FY02 Request:	f. FY05 Request:
d. FY03 Request:	g. FY06 Request:
e. FY04 Request: \$180,247	

Table 1. Project Cost Analysis

Item	<i>Column A</i> Fed. Agency Appropriated Contribution [Sec. 203(b)(4)]	<i>Column B</i> Requested County Title II Contribution [Sec. 203(b)(4)]	<i>Column C</i> Other Contributions [Sec. 203(b)(4)]	<i>Column D</i> Total Available Funds
24. Field Work & Site Surveys				
25. NEPA & Sec. 7 ESA Consultation	\$100,000.00			\$100,00
26. Permit Acquisition				
27. Project Design & Engineering				
28. Contract Preparation		\$17,810.00		\$17,810
29. Contract Administration		\$26,145.00		\$26,145
30. Contract Cost	\$131,350.00	\$119,650.00		\$251,000
31. Workforce Cost				
32. Materials & Supplies				
33. Monitoring		\$3,200.00		\$3,200
34. Other (i.e. Section 106 Compliance)				
35. Project Sub-Total	\$231,350	\$166,895		\$398,245
36. Indirect Costs (Overhead @ 8%) (per year for multi-year projects)	\$60,614	\$13,352.00		\$73,966
37. Total Cost Estimate	\$291,637	\$180,247		\$471,884

38. Identify Source(s) of Other Funding for Project Identified Above [Sec. 203(b)(4)] (max. 7 lines)

39. Monitoring Plan [Sec. 203(b)(6)]

- a. What measures or evaluations will be made to determine how well the proposed project meets the desired ecological conditions?** [Sec. 203(b)(6)] (max. 7 lines) The Desired Condition described in Chapter II of the Clear Creek Environmental Assessment will be used to measure how well the completed activities meet the objectives.
Who is responsible for this monitoring item?: USFS
- b. How will the project be evaluated to determine how well the proposed project contributes towards local employment and/or training opportunities, including summer youth jobs programs such as the Youth Conservation Corps?** [Sec. 203(b)(6)] (max. 7 lines) The COR and FSR, will monitor and maintain a record of which local contractors receive work from the awarded contracts. The Forest's Personnel Department will keep records on people employed by the Forest Service through youth programs.
Who is responsible for this monitoring item?: USFS
- c. What methods and measures of evaluation will be established to determine how well the proposed project improves the use of, or added value to, any products removed from National Forest System lands consistent with the purposes of this Act?** [Sec. 203(b)(6) and Sec. 204(e)(3)] (max. 7 lines) Records, of the amount and type of products removed, will be made as part of contract administration and inspection. Photo point and data plots will be used to measure improvements in site conditions including stand structure and fuel loading.
Who is responsible for this monitoring item?: USFS
- d. Identify total funding needed to carry out specified monitoring tasks (Table 1, Item 33)**
(max. 7 lines)
Amount \$3,200.00

Project Name:

County Court Concurrence

(Majority Required per charter)

A majority of the county commissioners of Grant County have reviewed this proposed Public Law 106-393 project for the Grant County Advisory Council and agree with the proposal as submitted, except for the comments noted below:

Attested by Grant County Judge

Date

Priority Rating:

X High Medium Low

Comments/Rational:

Project Cost Estimates

Personnel	Contract Prep Days	Contract Administration Days
Tony Johns	10	25
Glenn Powell	10	
Stan Bird	10	5
Dan Northington	10	25
Mike Couey		15
Kris Shull	10	10
Jim Soupir	10	10
Alan Miller	10	
Glenn Miller		25

Treatment Cost Estimates

Treatment Type	Cost/Acre	Total
Thinning	\$100	\$50,200
DDTR	\$70	\$35,140
Hand Piling	\$220	\$110,440
Harvest	\$110	\$55,220

Funding Contributions

Title II	\$180,149
WFHF	\$100,000
KV	\$31,350